UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Tennessee

United States of America v. William C. Brown Defendant)) Case No. 3:18-CR-147-20 —)		
	ENTION PENDING TRIAL		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Part I - Eligibility for Detention			
	gibility for Detention		
Upon the			
	suant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or		
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's o	wn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tion is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact 2(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.		
Part II - Findings of Fact and	Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
□ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 Upresumption that no condition or combination of and the community because the following condition of the community because the community	J.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ons have been met: the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): f 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. turn term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or menter of imprisonment or death; or term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the c. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or n convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs wo or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses gh (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal action of such offenses; or		
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession	of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and		
(2) the defendant has previously been conv	victed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.		
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise		

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defend committed one or more of the following offenses:	
\boxtimes (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (2 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	1
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 ye or more is prescribed;	ars
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum terr imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	n of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
□ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ing,
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assu	ire
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assured.	ire
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history	ire
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	ire
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	ire
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Subject and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	ire
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	ire
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	ire
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	ire

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Or	der of Detention Pending Trial	
☐ Significat	nt family or other ties out	side the United States
Lack of le	egal status in the United S	tates
Subject to	removal or deportation	after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failu	re to appear in court as o	rdered
Prior atte	npt(s) to evade law enfor	cement
Use of ali	as(es) or false document	
☐ Backgrou	nd information unknown	or unverified
	ations of probation, paro	
	S OR FURTHER EXPLA	
evidence that (1) the combination of conreleased on bond.	e Defendant poses a serior ditions will reasonably a The factors set forth in 18	Information provided at the hearing established by clear and convincing our risk of danger to the community or another person, and (2) no condition or usure the safety of individuals or the community if the Defendant were U.S.C. § 3142(g) weigh in favor of detention. The Court sets forth the in the attached supplemental Statement of Reasons.
	Part	IV - Directions Regarding Detention
for confinement in being held in custo with defense couns person in charge of	a corrections facility sep dy pending appeal. The sel. On order of a cour	If the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative erate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an eding.
Date: 1	1/13/2018	s/ H. Bruce Guyton

United States Magistrate Judge